	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/825,537	GARAHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nga B. Nguyen	3692	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) _Nga B. Nguyen_.   (3)_____.

(2) _James Leiz_.   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: _17 December 2007_.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic _& e-mail_ b)☐ Video Conference
   c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.
   If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _18_.

Identification of prior art discussed: _None_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _During the interview, applicant authorized the examiner to amend claims 18, 24, and 25 in the Examiner's amendment_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

_____
Examiner's signature, if required

Nguyen, Nga

From: Leiz, James A. [James.Leiz@ropesgray.com]
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2007 11:32 PM
To: Nguyen, Nga
Subject: Application No. 09/825,537

Dear Examiner Nguyen,

Thank you for taking the time to call me yesterday regarding the system claims in this application. I reviewed the system claims and have provided proposed amendments at the end of this e-mail that should address the concerns you raised. If you agree with the proposed amendments, you are authorized to enter the amendments as an Examiner's amendment. If you have any questions or comments regarding these amendments, please feel free to contact me at 212-596-9379.

Regards,
Jim Leiz

18. (Proposed Amendment)   A system for wagering on a future race using an interactive wagering system, comprising:

at least one totalisator configured to run a first parimutuel wagering pool for the future race[[;]] and a second parimutuel wagering pool for the future race, wherein (a) the second parimutuel wagering pool is separate from the first parimutuel wagering pool whereby odds of the first parimutuel are calculated using only wagers placed in the first parimutuel wagering pool and odds of the second parimutuel wagering pool are calculated using only wagers placed in the second parimutuel wagering pool, (b) the first and second parimutuel wagering pools accept wagers of the same selected type, and (c) the second parimutuel wagering pool closes after the first parimutuel wagering pool closes; and

user equipment configured to:
provide the user with the ability to place a wager in the first parimutuel wagering pool for the future race; and

provide the user with the ability to select a wager type for the wager from a plurality of different wager types.

24. (Proposed Amendment)   The system defined in claim 18 ~~further comprising a~~ wherein the at least one totalisator is configured to (a) calculate the odds and payouts for the first parimutuel wagering pool while the first parimutuel wagering pool is open and (b) calculate the odds and payouts for the second parimutuel wagering pool while the second parimutuel wagering pool is open.

25. (Proposed Amendment)   The system defined in claim 24 wherein the at least one totalisator is further configured to (a) fix the odds and payouts for the first parimutuel wagering pool when the first parimutuel wagering pool closes and (b) fix the odds and payouts for the second parimutuel wagering pool when the second parimutuel wagering pool closes.

James A. Leiz
ROPES & GRAY LLP
T 212-596-9379 | M 917-992-6430 | F 646-728-2582
1211 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036-8704
James.Leiz@ropesgray.com
www.ropesgray.com

1/7/08